# The Impact of Climate Change on Agricultural Development in the Central Asian Countries: Evidence from Panel Data Analysis





& BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

### **Study Members**

## Why Is This Topic Important?

## Impact of Climate Change on Central Asia's Agriculture

- Global Food Security Risk:
  - Climate change threatens global food safety by affecting crop production, crucial for feeding the global population and stabilizing agri-food chains (Wheeler & von Braun, 2013).
- Central Asia's Population and Agricultural Vulnerability:
  - Central Asia's population (~82.58 million, ~1% of the world population in 2024) *faces concerns* about mitigating climate change risks (Worldometer, n.d.).
  - Extreme climate events and increasing temperatures threaten regional agriculture (Liu et al., 2020; Saidaliyeva et al., 2024).
- Land and Climate Constraints:
  - Only 20% of agricultural land is suitable for crops (Babu & Djalalov, 2006; Mirzabaev, 2013; Liu et al., 2020).
  - Average temperatures increased by 16.7% from 1992 to 2022, while precipitation levels remained unchanged (World Bank, n.d.).

# Why Is This Topic Important?

### **Agricultural Trends and Yield Increases**

- Growth in Agricultural Output:
  - Despite climate challenges, agricultural production has grown significantly in Central Asia over the past 27 years (FAO, n.d.; Liu et al., 2020); that is, the real value of agricultural products and crops (constant 2014-2016 USD) in 2022 increased by 2.83-fold and 3.7-fold compared to 1992, respectively.
- Crop Yields by Key Types:
  - Since 1996, the yields of major crops in Central Asia have increased by: Wheat: 1.9-fold, Potatoes: 2.2-fold, Cotton: 1.6-fold (FAO, n.d.).
- Other Factors Influencing Yields:
  - Rising temperatures generally harm crop production (Mall et al., 2017; Malhi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2016).
  - The yield increases suggest other factors besides climate change have positively impacted agriculture.
  - Differentiating climate change effects from other agricultural drivers is essential for understanding Central Asia's agricultural development.

# **Novelties of the Study**

### **Crop Simulation Modeling**

- Definition:
  - Crop simulation modeling uses *mathematical models* to replicate crop growth, development, and yield under different environmental conditions.
  - Key climate variables: temperature, precipitation, CO₂ levels (Jones et al., 2003; White et al., 2011).

#### • Importance:

- Critical for evaluating the impacts of climate change on crop yields.
- Helps predict future agricultural productivity and informs adaptation strategies (Challinor et al., 2009; Rosenzweig et al., 2014).

#### Disadvantages:

• Other key (socioeconomic) factors such as agricultural land used for specific crops, agricultural machinery power, irrigated area, etc. are typically ignored (Challinor et al., 2010).

# **Novelties of the Study**

### **Novelties of the Study**

- Incorporating Both Climate and Socioeconomic Variables:
  - This study is one of the few that examines both climate change and socioeconomic variables as independent variables for Central Asia (Challinor et al., 2010; Ye et al., 2013; Gupta et al., 2014; Gul et al., 2022).
  - Previous studies often focused on only climate variables, excluding socioeconomic factors (Mueller et al., 2014; Sutton et al., 2013; Mirzabaev, 2013; Sommer et al., 2013).
- Treating Climate Variables as Random Terms:
  - Unlike other studies (Carter & Zhang, 1998; Mirzabaev, 2013; Thomas et al., 2021), this research treats climate variables as random terms, accounting for territorial differences that farmers may not fully comprehend (Zhou & Turvey, 2014; Sarwary et al., 2023).

# **Novelties of the Study**

## **Novelties of the Study**

- Nonlinear Relationships in Crop Yield:
  - This study is among the few that considers *nonlinear associations* between crop yield and climate variables (Liu et al., 2020; Mendelsohn, 2014; Wei et al., 2014; Mirzabaev, 2013).
  - Previous studies assumed *constant elasticity* of crop yield with respect to climate variables (Zhou & Turvey, 2014; Feng et al., 2021; Schierhorn et al., 2020).
- Interaction of Climate and Socioeconomic Variables:
  - It is one of the few studies to examine *interaction terms* between climate and socioeconomic factors in Central Asia (Zhou & Turvey, 2014; Xin et al., 2013).
  - Unlike this study, Zhou & Turvey (2014) assumed constant elasticity for total value product, and Xin et al. (2013) based their analysis on household survey data.

## Research Questions and Aims of the Study

- Based on the covered studies, the research questions of this study can be written as:
  - Are there *nonlinear associations* between crop yield (of wheat, cotton, potatoes) and regressors in the Central Asia?
  - Do elasticities vary across the region countries?
  - Are the crop yields correlated with the interaction terms between climate and other variables?
- Thus, the aims of the study are:
  - To examine the nonlinear associations between crop yields (wheat, cotton, potatoes) and relevant climate and socioeconomic variables in Central Asia.
  - To analyze the variability of elasticities of crop yields across different countries in Central Asia.
  - To investigate the association between crop yields and the interaction terms between climate variables and other factors (such as socioeconomic conditions).
  - These objectives are key in guiding evidence-based policy-making that enhances agricultural sustainability and food security in the face of climate change across Central Asia.

## Research Methodology

#### **Model Framework and Data Sources**

- Crop Yield Function:
  - Crop yields (wheat, potatoes, cotton) are a function of *climate variables* (temperature, precipitation) and *agricultural inputs* (capital, land, labor). But there we added *other variables* as well.
- Data Collection (1992-2023):
  - Crop yield, land use, agr. machinery pwr, irrigated area, chemical fertilizer use, and agr. employment: *FAO* (n.d.) and *OWD* (Ritchie et al., 2023); Climate variables: *World Bank* (n.d.).

## Research Methodology

#### **Model Specification and Testing Questions**

- Panel Data Model:
  - $Y_{ijt} = \omega_i + \varphi_t + \alpha_1 C_{ijt} + \alpha_2 L d_{ijt} + \alpha_3 K_{it} + \alpha_4 L_{it} + \alpha_5 C_{ijt}^2 + \alpha_6 L d_{ijt}^2 + \alpha_7 K_{it}^2 + \alpha_8 L_{it}^2 + \alpha_9 (C_{ijt} \cdot L d_{ijt}) + \alpha_{10} (C_{ijt} \cdot K_{it}) + \alpha_{11} (C_{ijt} \cdot L_{it}) + u_{ijt}$ 
    - where Y crop yield (in tons per hectare); C is a vector of climate variables (temperature and precipitation, Celsius and mm);
    - Ld is the crop-specific total use in 1,000 hectares;
    - K is the vector of capital indicators (agro machinery power (10,000 kW), fertilizer usage (1,000 ha), and irrigated area (1,000 ha);
    - L is the agricultural labor (10,000 persons);
    - $\omega_i$  the country-specific effects;
    - $\varphi_t$  is the time-specific affects;
    - *u* the error term.
- Answering Research Questions using the model:
  - Test the individual significances of squared terms ( $\alpha_5$  to  $\alpha_8$ ) for nonlinear effects (RQ1).
  - Use Hausman (1978) test to determine whether to treat country and time effects as fixed or random (RQ2).
  - Test interaction terms ( $\alpha_9$  to  $\alpha_{11}$ ) between climate variables and other inputs (RQ3).

## **Empirical Model Results and Discussions?**

- Model Types:
  - There are three types of models; *linear*, *nonlinear*, and *interaction* models.
- Some initial results obtained in the FE/RE models:
  - Adding squares and interactions improved the goodness-of-fit improved significantly, from 33% to 51-79% for wheat, 37% to 57-69% for potatoes, and 62% to 65-68% for cotton.
  - Fixed-effects models were consistent in four out of nine cases.
  - Yet, the inclusion of interaction terms made random-effects models more consistent.
  - The country-specific effects were needed in three models, while time-specific effects were significant in almost all models except the linear model for wheat.

# **Empirical Model Results and Discussions?**

• The *estimations of elasticities* in the FE/RE models:

|                    | Yield of |                         |              |         |           |             |        |           |             |  |  |
|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
|                    | Wheat    |                         |              | Potato  |           |             | Cotton |           |             |  |  |
|                    | Linear   | Nonlinear               | Interaction  | Linear  | Nonlinear | Interaction | Linear | Nonlinear | Interaction |  |  |
| Temperature        | 0.073    |                         |              | 3.634   | -8.74     |             | 0.728  | 2.389     | -17.47      |  |  |
| Precipitation      |          |                         |              |         |           |             | 0.784  |           |             |  |  |
| Land               |          | -1.654                  | 1.919        |         | -0.541    | -8.517      |        |           | 9.571       |  |  |
| Agro machinery pwr | -0.373   | -0.36                   |              | -0.329  |           | -16.46      | -0.156 | -0.209    | 14.81       |  |  |
| Fertilizer         |          | -0.205                  |              | 0.105   | -0.147    |             | 0.115  |           |             |  |  |
| Irrigated area     | -0.249   | -6.239                  |              | 0.287   | 3.16      |             |        |           |             |  |  |
| Agro employment    |          |                         |              | 0.4     |           |             |        |           | -11.44      |  |  |
|                    |          |                         |              |         |           |             |        |           |             |  |  |
|                    |          | significant             | at either 1% | 6 or 5% |           |             |        |           |             |  |  |
|                    |          | significant at 10 level |              |         |           |             |        |           |             |  |  |

# **Empirical Model Results and Discussions?**

• The turning points and types of nonlinear association in the FE/RE models:

|                    | Yield of wheat |                          | Yie            | d of potato           | Yield of cotton |                       |  |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
|                    | Turning point  | Nature of correlation    | Turning point  | Nature of correlation | Turning point   | Nature of correlation |  |
| Temperature        |                |                          | 3.9469         | U-shaped              | 12.7676         | inverse U-shaped      |  |
| Precipitation      |                |                          |                |                       |                 |                       |  |
| Land               | 145.76         | U-shaped                 | 79.60          | U-shaped              |                 |                       |  |
| Agro machinery pwr | 462073.04      | U-shaped                 |                |                       | 0.0006          | convex                |  |
| Fertilizer         | 6.00           | U-shaped                 | 4.34           | U-shaped              |                 |                       |  |
| Irrigated area     | 2256.42        | U-shaped                 | 1724.74        | inverse U-shaped      |                 |                       |  |
| Agro employment    |                |                          |                |                       |                 |                       |  |
|                    |                |                          |                |                       |                 |                       |  |
|                    |                | significant at either 19 | % or 5% levels |                       |                 |                       |  |
|                    |                | significant at 10 level  |                |                       |                 |                       |  |

## **Conclusion and Policy Implications**

#### Temperature Influences:

• Temperature has both linear and nonlinear effects on crop yields, with significant implications for potatoes and cotton, highlighting the need for targeted *climate adaptation strategies*.

#### Precipitation's Role:

• Precipitation shows a linear relationship only with cotton yield and does not significantly affect other crops, indicating *limited impact* on overall agricultural productivity.

#### Land Use Dynamics:

• Land use does not have a direct linear impact on yields but affects wheat and potato outputs nonlinearly, suggesting the importance of optimizing land management practices.

#### Agricultural Inputs:

Agricultural machinery and fertilizers play critical roles in crop yields, with both linear and nonlinear effects
necessitating careful management to maximize productivity.

#### Irrigation and Employment:

 While irrigation has beneficial impacts on certain crop yields, agricultural employment shows limited influence, emphasizing the need for strategic investments in irrigation infrastructure over labor adjustments. Thanks for Listening!

Any Questions?